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Aggregate Analytics is an independent vendor, contracted to produce evidence assessment reports for the Washington Health Technology Assessment (HTA) program. For transparency, all comments received during public comment periods are included in this document and attachments. Comments related to program decisions, process or other matters not pertaining to the evidence report, are acknowledged through inclusion only.

Response to public comment made during topic nomination: No comments were received.

Response to public comment to the draft Key Questions are found in Table 1.

Comments from:

- Morgan Young, DC, Associate Medical Director for Chiropractic, State of Washington Department of Labor & Industries, Olympia, WA

Full text of public comments on the draft key questions follow the table.
Table 1. Response to comment on draft key questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commenter:</strong> Morgan Young, DC, Associate Medical Director for Chiropractic, State of Washington Department of Labor &amp; Industries, Olympia, WA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General comments</td>
<td>I have a couple of concerns regarding the comparator group for the FAI surgery questions, specifically Key Question 1 and 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Questions 1 and 3 – regarding comparators</td>
<td>Most of the questions are framed against “no surgery” but that does not delineate whether they have had conservative care or not. Please consider giving comparative effectiveness trials of active exercise and engagement more weight than purely “no surgery”. Time, attention, etc all are likely to produce biased outcomes in a non-treatment group that might meet the definition of “no surgery”. Framing it as “non-operative treatment” instead of “no surgery” is preferred, but is not consistent across all the Key Questions, currently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Questions 1 and 3 – regarding comparators</td>
<td>On the same note, in the “non-operative care” portion of the table for Inclusion/Comparator – there are no rehabilitative exercise-based criteria here. Activity modification could be as simple as prescribing avoidance of activity and can be very limiting for the patient, but potentially have good outcomes on paper. Nor are NSAIDs or injections designed to fundamentally change the way the joint works, which is the point of surgery. Please consider specifically calling out exercise, rehabilitation and manual therapies that are designed to change the way the joint is being used as a better comparator group to surgery than passive, chemical anti-inflammatory interventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello,

I have a couple of concerns regarding the comparator group for the FAI surgery questions, specifically Key Question 1 and 3.

Most of the questions are framed against “no surgery” but that does not delineate whether they have had conservative care or not. Please consider giving comparative effectiveness trials of active exercise and engagement more weight than purely “no surgery”. Time, attention, etc all are likely to produce biased outcomes in a non-treatment group that might meet the definition of “no surgery”. Framing it as “non-operative treatment” instead of “no surgery” is preferred, but is not consistent across all the Key Questions, currently.

On the same note, in the “non-operative care” portion of the table for Inclusion/Comparator – there are no rehabilitative exercise-based criteria here. Activity modification could be as simple as prescribing avoidance of activity and can be very limiting for the patient, but potentially have good outcomes on paper. Nor are NSAIDs or injections designed to fundamentally change the way the joint works, which is the point of surgery. Please consider specifically calling out exercise, rehabilitation and manual therapies that are designed to change the way the joint is being used as a better comparator group to surgery than passive, chemical anti-inflammatory interventions.

Thank you for your consideration,

Morgan Young, DC
Associate Medical Director for Chiropractic
State of Washington Department of Labor & Industries
PO Box 44321, Olympia, WA 98504-4321

voice: 360-902-4998  fax: 360-902-6315  e-mail: morgan.young@lni.wa.gov