CODE REVISER USE ONLY



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: February 16, 2024 TIME: 12:26 PM

WSR 24-05-051

Agency: Health Care Authority									
⊠ Original Notice									
Supplemental Notice to WSR									
Continuance of WSR									
⊠ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>24-02-086</u> ; or									
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or									
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or									
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW									
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) 182-538-070, Payments, corrective action, and sanctions									
for managed care organizations (MCOs) Hearing location(s):									
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:					
March 26, 2024			holds To attend the virtual public hearing,						
		public hearings virtually with	nout a	you must register in advance.					
		physical meeting place.		https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_IJS763_ JQbuScCIMjjssSA					
				If the link above opens with an error message, please try using a different browser. After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the public hearing.					
Date of intended ado	otion: No so	oner than March 27, 2024 (I	Note: -	This is NOT the effective date)					
Submit written comm	ents to:		Assistance for persons with disabilities:						
Name: HCA Rules Coordinator			Contact Johanna Larson						
Address: PO Box 42716, Olympia WA 98504-2716			Phone: 360-725-1349						
Email: <u>arc@hca.wa.gov</u>			Fax: 360-586-9727						
Fax: 360-586-9727			TTY: Telecommunication Relay Services (TRS): 711						
Other:			Email: <u>Johanna.larson@hca.wa.gov</u>						
By (date) <u>March 26, 2024, by 11:59 PM</u>			Other:						
			By (date) <u>March 15, 2024</u>						
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: HCA is deleting subsections (9) and (10) within WAC 182-538-070 to be consistent with the integrated managed care contract standards for delivery case rate payments. Reasons supporting proposal: See Purpose									
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 41.05.021, 41.05.160									
Statute being implemented: RCW 41.05.021, 41.05.160									
Is rule necessary bec	ause of a:								
Federal Law?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Federal Court Decision?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
State Court Decision?				🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
If yes, CITATION:									
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None									

Name of agency	personnel responsible	for:	
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Jason Crabbe	PO Box 42716, Olympia, WA 98504-2716	360-725-9563
Implementation:	Greg Sandoz	PO Box 45503, Olympia, WA 98504-5503	360-725-2065
Enforcement:	Greg Sandoz	PO Box 45503, Olympia, WA 98504-5503	360-725-2065
Is a school distr If yes, insert state	-	ent required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
Name: Addres: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:		hool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:	
Other: Is a cost-benefit	analysis required unde	r RCW 34.05.328?	
Name: Addres: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: ⊠ No: Plea Administrative	s: use explain: RCW 34.05.3 Rules Review Committe	alysis may be obtained by contacting: 328 does not apply to Health Care Authority rules unless e or applied voluntarily. iness Economic Impact Statement	requested by the Joint
		<u>y Innovation and Assistance (ORIA)</u> provides support in	completing this part.
This rule proposa chapter 19.85 RC		osal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulato nation on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide publis</u> n(s):	
adopted solely to	conform and/or comply v e is being adopted to cor	oposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this revealed in the specific vith federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific form or comply with, and describe the consequences to	c federal statute or
Citation and desc			
		oposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the notice of this proposed rule.	ne pilot rule process

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(3)</u> . Check all that apply:							
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(c)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
			<u>CW 19.85.025</u> (4) (does not affect small businesses).				
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemp						
Explanation	of how the above exemption(s) applies to the p	proposed r	ule:				
 (2) Scope of exemptions: Check one. The rule proposal is fully exempt (<i>skip section 3</i>). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal. The rule proposal is partially exempt (<i>complete section 3</i>). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using <u>this template from ORIA</u>): The rule proposal is not exempt (<i>complete section 3</i>). No exemptions were identified above. 							
(3) Small bu	isiness economic impact statement: Compl	ete this se	ction if any portion is not exempt.				
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
 No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. The proposed rule will have no impact on small businesses. It only eliminates an unnecessary requirement for payment of service-based enhancements (SBE) for rural health clinics/federally qualified health centers and eliminates the portion of the rule with an incorrect standard for payment of an SBE to managed care organizations based on delivery case rates in integrated managed care. Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here: 							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Name:							
Address:							
Phone:							
Fax:							
	TTY: Email:						
	her:						
		Signati	Ire:				
Date: Febru	ary 16, 2024	J					
Name: Wen	dy Barcus	1	0// 2				
Title: HCA Rules Coordinator							

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 23-24-026, filed 11/29/23, effective 1/1/24)

WAC 182-538-070 Payments, corrective action, and sanctions for managed care organizations (MCOs). (1) The medicaid agency pays apple health managed care organizations (MCOs) monthly capitated premiums that:

(a) Have been developed using generally accepted actuarial principles and practices;

(b) Are appropriate for the populations to be covered and the services to be furnished under the MCO contract;

(c) Have been certified by actuaries who meet the qualification standards established by the American Academy of Actuaries and follow the practice standards established by the Actuarial Standards Board;

(d) Are based on analysis of historical cost, rate information, or both; and

(e) Are paid based on legislative allocations.

(2) The MCO is solely responsible for payment of MCO-contracted health care services. The agency will not pay for a service that is the MCO's responsibility, even if the MCO has not paid the provider for the service.

(3) Home health services delivered through MCOs involving an inhome visit by a provider require the provider to comply with electronic visit verification requirements. See WAC 182-551-2220.

(4) The agency pays MCOs a service-based enhancement rate for wraparound with intensive services (WISe) administered by a certified WISe provider who holds a current behavioral health agency license issued by the department of health under chapter 246-341 WAC.

(5) For crisis services, the MCO must determine whether the person receiving the services is eligible for Washington apple health or if the person has other insurance coverage.

(6) The agency may require corrective action for:

(a) Substandard rates of clinical performance measures;

(b) Deficiencies found in audits and on-site visits; or

(c) Findings of noncompliance with any contractual, state, or federal requirements.

(7) The agency may:

(a) Impose sanctions for an MCO's noncompliance with any contractual, state, or federal requirements including, but not limited to, intermediate sanctions as described in 42 C.F.R. Sec. 438.700 and 42 C.F.R. Sec. 438.702; and

(b) Apply a monthly penalty assessment associated with poor performance on selected behavioral health performance measures.

(8) As authorized by 42 C.F.R. Sec. 438.702(b), if an MCO fails to meet any material obligation under the MCO contract including, but not limited to, the items listed in 42 C.F.R. Sec. 438.700 (b), (c), or (d), the agency may impose the maximum allowable sanction on a peroccurrence, per-day basis until the agency determines the MCO has:

(a) Corrected the violation; and

(b) Remedied any harm caused by the noncompliance.

(((9) The agency pays an enhancement rate for each MCO enrollee assigned to a federally qualified health center or rural health clinic, as authorized under chapters 182-548 and 182-549 WAC.

(10) The agency pays MCOs a delivery case rate, separate from the capitation payment, when an enrollee delivers a child or children and the MCO pays for any part of labor and delivery.))