

STATE OF WASHINGTON **HEALTH CARE AUTHORITY**

626 8th Avenue, SE • P.O. Box 45504 • Olympia, Washington 98504-5503

October 15, 2024

Case ID Number: OPI-MC-2023-00120-MHW

Via Electronic Transmission

Jay Fathi, MD, Chief Executive Officer Molina Healthcare of Washington PO Box 4004 Bothell, WA 98041-4004

Revised Notice of Final Audit Report and Imposition of Sanctions

Dear Dr. Fathi:

Please find the Revised Final Audit Report for Encounter Data Validation included with this Notice of Revised Final Audit Report and Imposition of Sanctions (Notice) for Molina Healthcare of Washington (MHW).

Summary of Audit

The Health Care Authority (HCA), Division of Audit, Integrity & Oversight (AIO), conducted an Encounter Data Validation audit of encounter claims submitted by MHW. HCA selected a random sample of one-hundred and twenty (120) encounters representing twelve (12) claim types (10 encounters per claim type) from a universe of claims for services rendered to MHW enrollees between January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021.

Revised Final Audit Report

HCA analyzed all information submitted by MHW and reconsidered findings in dispute. The reconsideration resulted in the reversal of 1 finding in category 17, 2 findings in category 22 and all findings in category 11 and 25. HCA reduced the total findings from 286 to 264. Revised final findings are documented on the Review Worksheet attached as Appendix B and summarized in the findings section below.

Summary of Findings

HCA found 264 instances in which MHW provided inaccurate encounter claim data. Findings of inaccuracies are as follows:

Jay Fathi, MD, CEO Molina Healthcare of Washington October 15, 2024 Page 2

- Misrepresentation of payer or payment or documentation was not provided: 0 findings;
- MHW added/omitted/altered data from inbound HIPAA file: 264 findings; and
- Administrative or adjudication error: 0 findings.

Basis for Sanctions

Section 5 of the Contract¹ requires MHW to "submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the following data quality standards."

On April 13, 2023, MHW timely submitted encounter data in response to the audit. HCA determined that MHW submitted data that contained at least 264 instances of errors, omitted data, or misrepresented data. Of these findings, 161 instances in categories 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 18, 23 and 24 are not being considered in the calculation of sanctions as the discrepancies were remediated during the audit period under review.

As a result, HCA has determined that MHW altered or omitted claims data from the providers' original claims submissions and provided inaccurate encounter claim data. MHW's actions hindered HCA's ability to ensure encounter data is accurate, truthful, and complete and to conduct its required duties.

Imposition of Sanctions

Based on the conduct described above, HCA is imposing an intermediate sanction against MHW in the amount of \$106,500.00.

HCA imposes the sanctions in accordance with the Apple Health Integrated Managed Care (AHIMC) Contract. Section 5 of the Contract² provides that HCA "may impose intermediate sanctions in accordance with applicable law, including but not limited to 42 C.F.R §§ 438.700, 438.702, 438.704 [.]" Section 5.24.3.4³ and 5.23.5.4⁴ indicates sanctions can be imposed against MHW for "Misrepresenting or falsifying information that it furnishes to" HCA.

HCA must receive the sanction amount no later than 30 days after the receipt of this Notice, unless MHW invokes the dispute resolution process described below. Failure to remit the sanction amount in a timely manner may result in additional penalties.

Please remit payment to the following address:

Health Care Authority Attn: Accounting PO Box 42691 Olympia, WA 98504-2691

¹ Section 5.14.2.2 of the 01/01/2021 AHIMC contract Amendment 3 and Section 5.13.2.2 of 07/01/2021 AHIMC contract.

² Section 5.24.3 of the 01/01/2021 AHIMC contract Amendment 3 and Section 5.23.5 of 07/01/2021 AHIMC contract.

³ AHIMC Amendment 3 effective 01/01/2021-06/30/2021.

⁴ AHIMC Contract effective 07/01/2021-12/31/2021.

Jay Fathi, MD, CEO Molina Healthcare of Washington October 15, 2024 Page 3

Please include the case number with your remittance.

Dispute Resolution

This notice does not include a restatement of MHW's right to dispute conference since MHW already invoked its right to a dispute process related to the findings at issue.

Sincerely,

Tracy Graves

Program Integrity Analyst

Tray Draver

Audit, Integrity & Oversight (AIO)

Health Care Authority

Enclosures

cc: Mike Brown, Assistant Director, HCA, AIO

Jason McGill, Assistant Director, HCA, MPD

Annette Schuffenhauer, Chief Legal Officer, HCA

Dan Ashby, Section Manager, HCA, FS



Revised Final Audit Report

Encounter Data Validation Of Molina Healthcare of Washington

Case Number: OPI-MC-2023-00120-MHW

October 15, 2024

Division of Audit, Integrity & Oversight

Managed Care Oversight Unit

CMS Directive

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a report in June 2018 regarding the need for every State Medicaid agency to have better oversight of their contracted Managed Care Organizations (MCOs). CMS recommends continued efforts to implement proactive data mining and routine audits of validated managed care encounter data to ensure its integrity. The Health Care Authority (HCA) is carrying out its obligations under federal and state law by performing this Encounter Data Validation (EDV) audit.

Authority

HCA conducts encounter validation reviews in accordance with requirements under the United States Code (USC), the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), the Apple Health Integrated Managed Care (AHIMC) contract, instructions from HCA's Encounter Data Reporting Guide (EDRG), instructions from the HCA 5010 837 Professional and Institutional Encounter Data Companion Guide, and the National Council for Prescription Drug Program (NCPDP) companion guide.

Process of Encounter Validation Audit

The HCA Program Integrity Managed Care Oversight Unit (PIMCO) initiated an Encounter Data Validation Audit of Molina Healthcare of Washington (MHW) on March 16, 2023. The purpose of this audit is to ensure that all MCOs encounter data matches the encounter data submitted to HCA.

PIMCO conducted a review of the contracted MCO's encounter data in the following manner:

Random Sample Selection

HCA selected a random sample of one-hundred and twenty (120) encounters representing twelve (12) claim types (10 encounters per claim type) from a universe of claims for services rendered to MHW enrollees between January 1, 2021 and December 31, 2021.

Notice of Intent to Audit

On March 16, 2023, HCA sent a Notice of Intent to Audit (Notice) to MHW. HCA compiled the encounters from the random sample into a list and attached them to the Notice with instructions. For each sample encounter claim, HCA instructed MHW to provide the inbound 837 files from the MCO Network Provider for each TCN listed on the attached list of encounters.

Documentation Analysis

On April 13, 2023, HCA received from MHW the documentation it had requested in the Notice. HCA analyzed the documents to identify discrepancies between (1) the original AHIMC provider claim adjudicated through MHW, or their contracted entities' claims payment systems and (2) the encounter claims data reported to HCA by MHW. HCA utilized peer review analytics to review discrepancies found by the initial analyst to corroborate the findings.

MHW Dispute

On August 14, 2024, HCA received from MHW a dispute resolution request related to findings identified in the HCA Final Audit Report. Specifically, MHW disputed items in finding categories 11, 19, 22, and 25. In addition MHW questioned the timing of this audit from the 2018 audit. That audit was not settled until 2021 so many of the findings in categories 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 18, 23 and 24 were not remediated until after the period under review.

Revised Final Audit Report

HCA analyzed all information submitted by MHW and reconsidered findings in dispute. The reconsideration resulted in the reversal of 1 finding in category 17, 2 findings in category 22 and all findings in category 11 and 25. HCA reduced the total findings from 286 to 264. Revised final findings are documented on the Review Worksheet attached as Appendix B and summarized in the findings section below.

Findings

HCA reviewers defined 30 specific categories in which findings of inaccuracies could be determined. Each finding was assigned and labeled an identifying number from 1 to 30. Inaccuracies were identified in 16 of the 30 categories. A summary table of findings is attached as Appendix A, which includes finding identifier, description, count, and percentage of error rate. In addition, a breakdown of findings per encounter claim is attached as Appendix B, which shows the specific findings identified for each claim, total number of findings per claim, and aggregate number of findings for the sample.

HCA found 264 instances in which MHW (1) altered or omitted claim data from the provider's original claim submission and (2) provided inaccurate encounter claim data to HCA, in violation of Section 5 of the AHIMC Contract and the requirements of the EDRG. In some cases, there were multiple inaccuracies found in one sample encounter claim.

Specific findings of inaccuracies are categorized into the following:

Added/Omitted/Altered Data from Inbound HIPAA File

1). Provider IDs

<u>Requirement</u>: Section 5 of the AHIMC Contract¹ instructs the MCO to "submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the following data quality standards".

<u>Requirement</u>: Page 13 of the EDRG instructs the MCO to "report the National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) for identification of all Network Billing (Pay-to), Servicing, Attending, Referring, Rendering, and Prescribing providers on all encounters".

<u>Requirement</u>: Page 25 of the EDRG instructs the MCO to "report the NPI and Taxonomy codes for the Network Billing Provider as instructed in the Encounter Data Companion Guides (Loops 2000A PRV and 2010AA NM for 837 files). This entry must represent the provider that billed the MCO for the services."

<u>Finding</u>: HCA identified one hundred nineteen (119) instances in which the provider's original claim submission did not match the encounter claim file submitted to HCA related to provider IDs and/or taxonomy.

- In thirty-six (36) instances, the MCO Network Billing Taxonomy does not match.
- In twenty-four (24) instances, the Attending Provider Taxonomy does not match.
- In fourteen (14) instances, the Servicing Provider NPI does not match.

¹ Section 5.14.2.2 of the 01/01/2021 AHIMC contract Amendment 3 and Section 5.13.2.2 of 07/01/2021 AHIMC contract.

- In seventeen (17) instances, the Servicing Provider Taxonomy does not match.
- In three (3) instances, the Referring Provider NPI does not match.
- In six (6) instances, the Operating Physician NPI does not match.
- In nineteen (19) instances, the Servicing Provider Location does not match.

2). Other altered or omitted information

<u>Requirement</u>: Section 5 of the AHIMC Contract² instructs the MCO to "submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the following data quality standards."

Requirement: AHIMC contract sections 5.14.2.2.2³ and 5.13.2.2.2⁴ state as follows:

"Submitted encounters and encounter records shall have all fields required and found on standard healthcare claim billing forms or in electronic healthcare claim formats to support proper adjudication of an encounter. The Contractor shall submit to HCA, without alteration, omission or splitting, all available claim data in its entirety from the provider's original claim submission to the Contractor."

<u>Finding</u>: HCA identified one hundred forty-five (145) instances in which the documentation submitted did not match the encounter claim file submitted to HCA.

- In eleven (11) instances, the Admit Diagnosis does not match.
- In two (2) instances, the Other Diagnosis does not match.
- In eleven (11) instances, the Patient Reason for Visit Diagnosis does not match.
- In twenty-six (26) instances, the Frequency Code in the Type of Bill does not match.
- In five (5) instances, the Procedure Code and/or Modifiers do not match.
- In six (6) instances, the Service Line Dates of Service do not match.
- In forty (40) instances, the Discharge Date and/or Hour does not match.
- In forty (40) instances, the Admit Date and/or Hour do not match.
- In zero (0) instances, the Client Date of Birth or Gender does not match.
- In four (4) instances, the Health Information Code(s) do not match.

<u>Administrative or Adjudication Error</u>

1). Other altered or omitted information

<u>Requirement</u>: Section 5 of the AHIMC Contract⁵ instructs the MCO to "submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the following data quality standards".

² Section 5.14.2.2 of the 01/01/2021 AHIMC contract Amendment 3 and Section 5.13.2.2 of 07/01/2021 AHIMC contract.

³ AHIMC Amendment 3 effective 01/01/2021-06/30/2021.

⁴ AHIMC contract effective 07/01/2021-12/31/2021.

⁵ Section 5.14.2.2 of the 01/01/2021 AHIMC contract Amendment 3 and Section 5.13.2.2 of 07/01/2021 AHIMC contract.

Requirement: AHIMC contract sections 5.14.2.2.26 and 5.13.2.2.27 state as follows:

"Submitted encounters and encounter records shall have all fields required and found on standard healthcare claim billing forms or in electronic healthcare claim formats to support proper adjudication of an encounter. The Contractor shall submit to HCA, without alteration, omission or splitting, all available claim data in its entirety from the provider's original claim submission to the Contractor."

<u>Finding</u>: HCA identified zero (0) instances in which the documentation submitted did not match the encounter claim file submitted to HCA.

In zero (0) instances, the Quantity Type does not match (HCP 12 segment).

Conclusion

Governing law is clear that MHW must submit encounter data to HCA that is accurate, complete and truthful. This responsibility is underscored by the requirement that an MCO's Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer (or designee) certify that the submitted encounter data meets these standards.⁸ The importance of accurate encounter data is reflected in the United States Code (USC)⁹, federal regulations¹⁰ and is referenced in the AHIMC contract¹¹. HCA collects and uses encounter data for many purposes, such as:

- Audits
- Investigations
- Identifications of improper payments
- Other program integrity activities
- Federal reporting
- > Rate setting and risk adjustment
- Service verification
- Managed care quality improvement program,
- Utilization patterns and access to care
- HCA hospital rate setting
- Pharmacy rebates
- Research studies

Additionally, federal regulations stipulate that federal financial participation for amounts that HCA spends related to MCO contracts is only available if HCA meets certain criteria, including ensuring encounter data from MCOs is validated for accuracy and completeness before HCA submits the data to CMS.¹²

HCA found 264 instances in which MHW (1) altered or omitted claim data from the provider's original claim submission and (2) provided inaccurate encounter claim data to HCA, in violation of governing law, Section 5 of the AHIMC contract,

⁶ AHIMC Amendment 3 effective 01/01/2021-06/30/2021.

⁷ AHIMC contract effective 07/01/2021-12/31/2021.

^{8 42} CFR 438.606.

⁹ 42 USC 1396b(i)(25) and 42 USC 1396b(m)(2)(A)(xi).

¹⁰ 42 CFR 438.604 and 438.818.

¹¹ AHIMC contract Section 5.

¹² 42 CFR 438.818.

the EDRG and the Companion Guide. The inaccuracies are violations of MHW's contractual responsibilities and hinder HCA's ability to ensure encounter data is accurate, truthful and complete to conduct its own duties, including those activities described above.

References

United States Code (USC)

• 42 USC 1396b(i) Payment for organ transplants; item or service furnished by excluded individual, entity, or physician; other restrictions.

Payment under the preceding provisions of this section shall not be made-

- ...(25) with respect to any amounts expended for medical assistance for individuals for whom the State does not report enrollee encounter data (as defined by the Secretary) to the Medicaid Statistical Information System (MSIS) in a timely manner (as determined by the Secretary);...
- 42 USC 1396b(m)Medicaid managed care organization" defined; duties and functions of Secretary;
 payments to States; reporting requirements; remedies.
 - ... (2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), and (G), no payment shall be made under this subchapter to a State with respect to expenditures incurred by it for payment (determined under a prepaid capitation basis or under any other risk basis) for services provided by any entity (including a health insuring organization) which is responsible for the provision (directly or through arrangements with providers of services) of inpatient hospital services and any other service described in paragraph (2), (3), (4), (5), or (7) of section 1396d(a) of this title or for the provision of any three or more of the services described in such paragraphs unless-
 - ...(xi) such contract provides for maintenance of sufficient patient encounter data to identify the physician who delivers services to patients and for the provision of such data to the State at a frequency and level of detail to be specified by the Secretary;...

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)

- 42 CFR §438.242 Health Information Systems.
 - ... (c) Enrollee encounter data. Contracts between a State and a MCO...must provide for:
 - (1) Collection and maintenance of sufficient enrollee encounter data to identify the provider who delivers any item(s) or service(s) to enrollees.
 - (2) Submission of enrollee encounter data to the State at a frequency and level of detail to be specified by CMS and the State, based on program administration, oversight, and program integrity needs.
 - (3) Submission of all enrollee encounter data that the State is required to report to CMS under §438.818.
 - (4) Specifications for submitting encounter data to the State in standardized ASC X12N 837 and NCPDP formats, and the ASC X12N 835 format as appropriate.
- 42 CFR §438.242 Health information systems.
 - ... (d) State review and validation of encounter data. The State must review and validate that the encounter data collected, maintained, and submitted to the State by the MCO... meets the requirements of this section. The State must have procedures and quality assurance protocols to ensure that enrollee encounter data

submitted under paragraph (c) of this section is a complete and accurate representation of the services provided to the enrollees under the contract between the State and the MCO....

42 CFR §438.602 State responsibilities.

...(e) *Periodic Audits*. The State must periodically, but no less frequently than once every 3 years, conduct, or contract for the conduct of, an independent audit of the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by, or on behalf of, each MCO.

42 CFR §438.604 Data, information, and documentation that must be submitted.

- (a) Specified data, information, and documentation. The State must require any MCO... to submit to the State the following data:
 - (1) Encounter data in the form and manner described in §438.818.
 - (2) Data on the basis of which the State certifies the actuarial soundness of capitation rates to an MCO... under §438.4, including base data described in §438.5(c) that is generated by the MCO...
 - (3) Data on the basis of which the State determines the compliance of the MCO... with the medical loss ratio requirement described in §438.8.
 - (4) Data on the basis of which the State determines that the MCO... has made adequate provision against the risk of insolvency as required under §438.116.
 - (5) Documentation described in §438.207(b) on which the State bases its certification that the MCO... has complied with the State's requirements for availability and accessibility of services, including the adequacy of the provider network, as set forth in §438.206.
 - (6) Information on ownership and control described in §455.104 of this chapter from MCOs... and subcontractors as governed by §438.230.
 - (7) The annual report of overpayment recoveries as required in §438.608(d)(3).
- (b) Additional data, documentation, or information. In addition to the data, documentation, or information specified in paragraph (a) of this section, an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity must submit any other data, documentation, or information relating to the performance of the entity's obligations under this part required by the State or the Secretary.

42 CFR §438.606 Source, content and timing of certification.

- (a) Source of certification. For the data, documentation, or information specified in §438.604, the State must require that the data, documentation or information the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity submits to the State be certified by either the MCO's, PIHP's, PAHP's, PCCM's, or PCCM entity's Chief Executive Officer; Chief Financial Officer; or an individual who reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer with delegated authority to sign for the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer is ultimately responsible for the certification.
- (b) Content of certification. The certification provided by the individual in paragraph (a) of this section must attest that, based on best information, knowledge, and belief, the data, documentation, and information specified in §438.604 is accurate, complete, and truthful.
- (c) *Timing of certification*. The State must require the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM, or PCCM entity to submit the certification concurrently with the submission of the data, documentation, or information required in §438.604(a) and (b).

• 42 CFR §438.700 Basis for imposition of sanctions.

- (a) Each State that contracts with an MCO must... establish intermediate sanctions (which may include those specified in §438.702) that it may impose if it makes any of the determinations specified in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section. The State may base its determinations on findings from onsite surveys, enrollee or other complaints, financial status, or any other source.
- (b) A State determines that an MCO acts or fails to act as follows:
 - (1) Fails substantially to provide medically necessary services that the MCO is required to provide, under law or under its contract with the State, to an enrollee covered under the contract.
 - (2) Imposes on enrollees premiums or charges that are in excess of the premiums or charges permitted under the Medicaid program.
 - (3) Acts to discriminate among enrollees on the basis of their health status or need for health care services. This includes termination of enrollment or refusal to reenroll a beneficiary, except as permitted under the Medicaid program, or any practice that would reasonably be expected to discourage enrollment by beneficiaries whose medical condition or history indicates probable need for substantial future medical services.
 - (4) Misrepresents or falsifies information that it furnishes to CMS or to the State.
 - (5) Misrepresents or falsifies information that it furnishes to an enrollee, potential enrollee, or health care provider.
 - (6) Fails to comply with the requirements for physician incentive plans, as set forth (for Medicare) in §§422.208 and 422.210 of this chapter.
- (c) A State determines that an MCO, PCCM or PCCM entity has distributed directly, or indirectly through any agent or independent contractor, marketing materials that have not been approved by the State or that contain false or materially misleading information.
- (d) A State determines that—
 - (1) An MCO has violated any of the other requirements of sections 1903(m) or 1932 of the Act, or any implementing regulations.
 - (2) A PCCM or PCCM entity has violated any of the other applicable requirements of sections 1932 or 1905(t)(3) of the Act, or any implementing regulations.
 - (3) For any of the violations under paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section, only the sanctions specified in §438.702(a)(3), (4), and (5) may be imposed.

• 42 CFR §438.704 Amounts of civil money penalties.

- (a) General rule. If the State imposes civil monetary penalties as provided under §438.702(a)(1), the maximum civil money penalty the State may impose varies depending on the nature of the MCO's, PCCM or PCCM entity's action or failure to act, as provided in this section.
- (b) Specific limits.
 - (1) The limit is \$25,000 for each determination under §438.700(b)(1), (5), (6), and (c).
 - (2) The limit is \$100,000 for each determination under §438.700(b)(3) or (4).
- (3) The limit is \$15,000 for each beneficiary the State determines was not enrolled because of a discriminatory practice under \$438.700(b)(3). (This is subject to the overall limit of \$100,000 under paragraph (b)(2) of this section).
- (c) *Specific amount*. For premiums or charges in excess of the amounts permitted under the Medicaid program, the maximum amount of the penalty is \$25,000 or double the amount of the excess charges, whichever is greater. The State must deduct from the penalty the amount of overcharge and return it to the affected enrollees.

Apple Health Integrated Managed Care (AHIMC) Contract 01/01/2021 - 06/30/2021

- Section 5.14.2.2 Submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor
 has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in
 compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the
 following data quality standards:
- Section 5.14.2.2.2 Submitted encounters and encounter records shall have all fields required and found on standard healthcare claim billing forms or in electronic healthcare claim formats to support proper adjudication of an encounter. The Contractor shall submit to HCA, without alteration, omission or splitting, all available claim data in its entirety from the provider's original claim submission to the Contractor;
- Section 5.14.9 Additional detail can be found in the Encounter Data Reporting Guide and Service Encounter Reporting Instructions (SERI) Guide published by HCA and incorporated by reference into this Contract
- Section 18.6.2.3 Include all third party payments by Enrollee in its regular encounter data submissions.

Apple Health Integrated Managed Care (AHIMC) Contract 07/01/2021 – 12/31/2021

- Section 5.13.2.2. Submit to HCA complete, accurate, and timely data for all services for which the Contractor
 has incurred any financial liability, whether directly or through subcontracts or other arrangements in
 compliance with current encounter submission guidelines as published by HCA and that adhere to the
 following data quality standards:
- Section 5.13.2.2.2 Submitted encounters and encounter records shall have all fields required and found on standard healthcare claim billing forms or in electronic healthcare claim formats to support proper adjudication of an encounter. The Contractor shall submit to HCA, without alteration, omission or splitting, all available claim data in its entirety from the provider's original claim submission to the Contractor;
- Section 5.13.9 Additional detail can be found in the Encounter Data Reporting Guide and Service Encounter Reporting Instructions (SERI) published by HCA and incorporated by reference into this Contract
- Section 18.6.2.3 Include all third party payments by Enrollee in its regular encounter data submissions.

Encounter Data Reporting Guide (EDRG) 01/01/2021-12/31/2021

- Pg. 12 Purpose
 - HCA requires encounter data reporting from contracted MCOs and MCO Third-Party Administrators,
 HH Lead Entities, BHOs, and BH-ASOs/ASOs. Data reporting must include all healthcare, health home,
 behavioral health, substance use disorder, and certain administrative services delivered to eligible
 clients, and as additionally defined in the MCO, HH Lead Entity, BHO, or BH-ASO/ASO sections.
 Complete, accurate, and timely encounter reporting is the responsibility of each MCO, MCO ThirdParty Administrator, HH Lead Entity, BHO, and BH-ASO/ASO.
- Pg. 13 Provider Identifiers
 - Report the National Provider Identifiers (NPIs) for identification of all Billing (Pay-to), Servicing,
 Attending, Referring, Rendering, and Prescribing providers on all encounters.
- Pg. 23 MCO Claim Types and Format
 - The information on each reported encounter record must include all data billed/transmitted for payment from your service provider or sub-contractor. Do not alter paid claims data when reporting

encounters to HCA. For example, data must not be stripped, split from the service provider's original claim, or revised from the original claim submission.

- Pg. 25 MCO Provider Identifiers
 - Report the NPI and Taxonomy codes for the Billing Provider as instructed in the Encounter Data Companion Guides (Loops 2000A PRV and 2010AA NM for 837 files). This entry must represent the provider that billed the MCO for the services. For pharmacy files, report the servicing provider NPI (Field 201-B1).
- Pg. 43 Retail Pharmacy Required Field
 - Amount Paid The 'Amount Paid' field (430-DU field name) is a requirement for pharmacy encounters. The amount paid is the amount the MCO paid to the servicing pharmacy.

ProviderOne 5010 companion guides

Encounter Guide June 2017

- Introduction
 - Encounters are not HIPAA named transactions and the 837I and 837P Implementation Guides were used as a foundation to construct the standardized HCA encounter reporting process.
 - Companion Guides are used to clarify the exchange of information on HIPAA transactions between the HCA ProviderOne system and its trading partners. HCA defines trading partners as covered entities that either submit or retrieve HIPAA batch transactions to and from ProviderOne.
 - Companion Guides are intended to supplement the HIPAA Implementation Guides for each of the HIPAA transactions. Rules for format, content, and field values can be found in the Implementation Guides. This Companion Guide describes the technical interface environment with HCA, including connectivity requirements and protocols, and electronic interchange procedures. This guide also provides specific information on data elements and the values required for transactions sent to or received from HCA.