

WISe Dashboard

2021 QUARTER 1 (ANNUAL UPDATE)

Wraparound with Intensive Services

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services Research and Data Analysis Division Olympia, Washington

CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov
Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov
David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov

Contents

WISe At-A-Glance

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations


- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
- Behavioral Health and Services
- Social and Health Services
- Functional Proxy Indicators

WISe Outcomes

• Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

WISe Implementation Progress

WISe At-A-Glance



NOTES: Youth counts unduplicated within SFY. Screen counts duplicated.

WISe Service Providers as of February 2021

(Services provided in community settings regardless of office location)




Total Average Monthly Service Hours, SFY 2020




Service Hours by Location, SFY 2020

WISE PARTICIPANTS



Service Hours by Type of Service, SFY 2020

WISE PARTICIPANTS



NOTES: WISe participants N=5,954. Numbers above show the average number of hours per service month.

July 2014 to June 2020 (cumulative since WISe program began)

Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGF	ROUP	SUBGR	OUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe PI	ROXY
	WISe SEI	RVICES	Outpatien	t/Other	CLIP/	BRS	WIS	Se	SERVI	CES	(SFY 20	
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Gender	NOWIDER	OI TOTAL	NOWIDER	OI TOTAL	NOWIDER	OI TOTAL	NOWIDER	OI TOTAL	NOWIDER	OI IOIAL	NOWIBER	OI TOTAL
Female	6,792	42.0%	925	43.0%	223	36.6%	5,644	42.1%	5,199	42.1%	12,102	48.2%
Male	9,391	58.0%	1,228	57.0%	387	63.4%	7,776	57.9%	7,159	57.9%	12,988	51.8%
Age Group												
0-4	432	2.7%	61	2.8%	15	2.5%	356	2.7%	293	2.4%	807	3.2%
5-11	6,278	38.8%	869	40.4%	164	26.9%	5,245	39.1%	4,864	39.4%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	9,035	55.8%	1,144	53.1%	401	65.7%	7,490	55.8%	6,834	55.3%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	438	2.7%	79	3.7%	30	4.9%	329	2.5%	367	3.0%	5,739	22.9%
Race/Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic White	7,407	45.8%	1,009	46.9%	213	34.9%	6,185	46.1%	5,698	46.1%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	8,776	54.2%	1,144	53.1%	397	65.1%	7,235	53.9%	6,660	53.9%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	3,977	24.6%	607	28.2%	120	19.7%	3,250	24.2%	2,977	24.1%	5,195	20.7%
Black	2,472	15.3%	232	10.8%	135	22.1%	2,105	15.7%	1,998	16.2%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	2,358	14.6%	306	14.2%	148	24.3%	1,904	14.2%	1,705	13.8%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,060	6.6%	123	5.7%	37	6.1%	900	6.7%	844	6.8%	1,620	6.5%
Region												
Great Rivers	1,181	7.3%	2	2	1	1	1,023	7.6%	940	7.6%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia	2,874	17.8%	488	22.7%	94	15.4%	2,292	17.1%	1,991	16.1%	3,265	13.0%
King County	1,392	8.6%	51	2.4%	106	17.4%	1,235	9.2%	1,491	12.1%	4,525	18.0%
North Central	649	4.0%	2	2	1	1	492	3.7%	462	3.7%	1,155	4.6%
North Sound	2,212	13.7%	345	16.0%	104	17.0%	1,763	13.1%	1,668	13.5%	3,287	13.1%
Pierce County	2,000	12.4%	94	4.4%	87	14.3%	1,819	13.6%	1,616	13.1%	3,157	12.6%
Salish	878	5.4%	183	8.5%	19	3.1%	676	5.0%	607	4.9%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest	1,424	8.8%	164	7.6%	65	10.7%	1,195	8.9%	928	7.5%	2,037	8.1%
Spokane Region	2,054	12.7%	356	16.5%	71	11.6%	1,627	12.1%	1,416	11.5%	3,272	13.0%
Thurston Mason	1,519	9.4%	171	7.9%	50	8.2%	1,298	9.7%	1,239	10.0%	1,240	4.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	16,183		2,153		610		13,420		12,358		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	16,227		2,159		614		13,454		12,421		25,090	

DATA SOURCE: List of youth screened and referral outcomes from BHAS data, list of youth receiving WISe and in WISe proxy from administrative data (based on service encounters). Characteristics of all three populations from administrative data. Number of youth receiving WISe services may be underestimated due to data completeness issues.

NOTES: Table presents characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services in time period identified as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient/Other. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Integrated Managed Care (IMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month for proxy, and region of screening or service for screened and served populations. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated.

July 2019 to June 2020 (SFY 2020; last 4 quarters of data available)

Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGF	ROUP	SUBGR	ROUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe PI	ROXY
	WISe SEI	RVICES	Outpatien		CLIP/		WIS		SERVI	CES	(SFY 20	
		PERCENT		PERCENT	,	PERCENT		PERCENT		PERCENT	,	PERCENT
	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	NUMBER	OF TOTAL	NUMBER	OF TOTAL
Gender												
Female	2,147	41.5%	274	37.4%	81	28.9%	1,792	43.1%	2,506	42.3%	12,102	48.2%
Male	3,025	58.5%	458	62.6%	199	71.1%	2,368	56.9%	3,422	57.7%	12,988	51.8%
Age Group	226	4.40/	2	2	1	1	400	4.00/	106	2.20/	007	2.20/
0-4	226	4.4%		2	1	¹	198	4.8%	196	3.3%	807	3.2%
5-11	2,065	39.9%	321	43.9%	76	27.1%	1,668	40.1%	2,344	39.5%	5,269	21.0%
12-17 18-20	2,737 144	52.9%	365 ²	49.9%	184	65.7%	2,188	52.6%	3,153 235	53.2%	13,275	52.9%
Race/Ethnicity	144	2.8%					106	2.5%	235	4.0%	5,739	22.9%
Non-Hispanic White	2,396	46.3%	358	48.9%	112	40.0%	1,926	46.3%	2,786	47.0%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	2,396	53.7%	374	51.1%	168	60.0%	2,234	53.7%	3,142	53.0%	13,487	53.8%
•	2,770	33.770	3/4	31.1/0	100	00.076	2,234	33.770	3,142	33.070	13,467	33.070
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	1,175	22.7%	194	26.5%	56	20.0%	925	22.2%	1,367	23.1%	5,195	20.7%
Black	877	17.0%	89	12.2%	54	19.3%	734	17.6%	988	16.7%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	787	15.2%	102	13.9%	66	23.6%	619	14.9%	819	13.8%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	355	6.9%	51	7.0%	15	5.4%	289	6.9%	389	6.6%	1,620	6.5%
Region			2	2	1	1						
Great Rivers	416	8.0%	2	2		1	348	8.4%	522	8.8%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia	714	13.8%	142	19.4%	28	10.0%	544	13.1%	804	13.6%	3,265	13.0%
King County	591	11.4%	25	3.4%	39	13.9%	527	12.7%	863	14.6%	4,525	18.0%
North Central	220	4.3%	2	2	1	1	151	3.6%	228	3.8%	1,155	4.6%
North Sound	745	14.4%	68	9.3%	32	11.4%	645	15.5%	836	14.1%	3,287	13.1%
Pierce County	654	12.6%	2	2	1	1	561	13.5%	635	10.7%	3,157	12.6%
Salish	340	6.6%	2	2	1	1	261	6.3%	411	6.9%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest	379	7.3%	50	6.8%	25	8.9%	304	7.3%	396	6.7%	2,037	8.1%
Spokane Region	832	16.1%	153	20.9%	115	41.1%	564	13.6%	773	13.0%	3,272	13.0%
Thurston Mason	281	5.4%	1	1	2	2	255	6.1%	460	7.8%	1,240	4.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	5,172		732		280		4,160		5,928		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	5,188		734		281		4,173		5,954		25,090	

DATA SOURCE: List of youth screened and referral outcomes from BHAS data, list of youth receiving WISe and in WISe proxy from administrative data (based on service encounters). Characteristics of all three populations from administrative data. Number of youth receiving WISe services may be underestimated due to data completeness issues.

NOTES: Table presents characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services in time period identified as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient/Other. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Integrated Managed Care (IMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month for proxy, and region of screening or service for screened and served populations. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated.

July 2014 to June 2020 (cumulative since WISe program began)

Behavioral Health and Services, 12 Month Period

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe P	ROXY
	WISe SEI	RVICES	Outpatien	t/Other	CLIP/	BRS	WIS	Se	SERVI	CES	(SFY 2	.015)
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags	-	OI TOTAL		OI TOTAL		OI TOTAL		OI TOTAL		OFTOTAL		OFTOTAL
No known behavioral health treatment need	2,150	13.3%	484	22.5%	69	11.3%	1,597	11.9%	962	7.8%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	13,897	85.9%	1,649	76.6%	534	87.5%	11,714	87.3%	11,321	91.6%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	2,013	12.4%	209	9.7%	116	19.0%	1,688	12.6%	1,620	13.1%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	1,892	11.7%	191	8.9%	110	18.0%	1,591	11.9%	1,553	12.6%	6,800	27.1%
Mental Health Diagnosis History												
Psychotic disorder	1,203	7.4%	95	4.4%	53	8.7%	1,055	7.9%	1,121	9.1%	2,625	10.5%
Mania/bipolar disorder	1,242	7.7%	89	4.1%	60	9.8%	1,093	8.1%	1,139	9.2%	4,673	18.6%
Depression	6,967	43.1%	731	34.0%	230	37.7%	6,006	44.8%	6,053	49.0%	11,537	46.0%
Anxiety	8,055	49.8%	853	39.6%	313	51.3%	6,889	51.3%	6,971	56.4%	12,232	48.8%
ADHD	6,116	37.8%	595	27.6%	248	40.7%	5,273	39.3%	5,181	41.9%	7,161	28.5%
Disruptive/impulse/conduct	5,176	32.0%	469	21.8%	207	33.9%	4,500	33.5%	4,612	37.3%	4,989	19.9%
Adjustment disorder	2,973	18.4%	357	16.6%	130	21.3%	2,486	18.5%	2,404	19.5%	3,016	12.0%
Mental Health Prescription History												
Antipsychotic	2,943	18.2%	235	10.9%	122	20.0%	2,586	19.3%	2,672	21.6%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	237	1.5%	1	1	2	2	216	1.6%	234	1.9%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	5,366	33.2%	528	24.5%	201	33.0%	4,637	34.6%	4,641	37.6%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	2,118	13.1%	202	9.4%	98	16.1%	1,818	13.5%	1,861	15.1%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	5,048	31.2%	489	22.7%	191	31.3%	4,368	32.5%	4,264	34.5%	6,299	25.1%
If any above Rx, mental health treatment received ³	8,378	95.9%	867	95.4%	316	89.0%	7,195	96.3%	7,158	97.4%	12,796	85.4%
Mental Health Service History												
MH inpatient	1,717	10.6%	153	7.1%	53	8.7%	1,511	11.3%	1,662	13.4%	1,909	7.6%
MH outpatient	13,225	81.7%	1,541	71.6%	484	79.3%	11,200	83.5%	10,952	88.6%	21,510	85.7%
Any SUD services	1,068	6.6%	126	5.9%	77	12.6%	865	6.4%	821	6.6%	4,595	18.3%
DCYF Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	913	5.6%	35	1.6%	381	62.5%	497	3.7%	375	3.0%	972	3.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	16,183		2,153		610		13,420		12,358		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	16,227		2,159		614		13,454		12,421		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on behavioral health and services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 3 for additional details. In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. Includes outpatient mental health treatment from DBHR, HCA, or Tribal Authorities.

July 2019 to June 2020 (SFY 2020; last 4 quarters of data available)

Behavioral Health and Services, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe									y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe P	ROXY
	WISe SEI	RVICES	Outpatien	t/Other	CLIP/	BRS	WIS	ie	SERVI	CES	(SFY 2	.015)
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags	NOWBER	OF TOTAL	NOWIBER	OF TOTAL	INDIVIBER	OF TOTAL	NOWBER	OF TOTAL	NOWBER	OF TOTAL	NOWBER	OF TOTAL
No known behavioral health treatment need	770	14.9%	156	21.3%	25	8.9%	589	14.2%	396	6.7%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	4,362	84.3%	570	77.9%	252	90.0%	3,540	85.1%	5,507	92.9%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	585	11.3%	52	7.1%	50	17.9%	483	11.6%	686	11.6%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	553	10.7%	47	6.4%	47	16.8%	459	11.0%	666	11.2%	6,800	27.1%
Mental Health Diagnosis History				J. 1, 2							0,000	
Psychotic disorder	396	7.7%	31	4.2%	24	8.6%	341	8.2%	527	8.9%	2,625	10.5%
Mania/bipolar disorder	262	5.1%	22	3.0%	12	4.3%	228	5.5%	374	6.3%	4,673	18.6%
Depression	2,137	41.3%	224	30.6%	113	40.4%	1,800	43.3%	3,009	50.8%	11,537	46.0%
Anxiety	2,703	52.3%	305	41.7%	178	63.6%	2,220	53.4%	3,699	62.4%	12,232	48.8%
ADHD	2,015	39.0%	233	31.8%	142	50.7%	1,640	39.4%	2,717	45.8%	7,161	28.5%
Disruptive/impulse/conduct	1,696	32.8%	200	27.3%	109	38.9%	1,387	33.3%	2,254	38.0%	4,989	19.9%
Adjustment disorder	1,034	20.0%	135	18.4%	62	22.1%	837	20.1%	1,233	20.8%	3,016	12.0%
Mental Health Prescription History												
Antipsychotic	1,047	20.2%	91	12.4%	77	27.5%	879	21.1%	1,499	25.3%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	91	1.8%	1	1	1	1	78	1.9%	165	2.8%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	1,709	33.0%	174	23.8%	112	40.0%	1,423	34.2%	2,354	39.7%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	784	15.2%	69	9.4%	48	17.1%	667	16.0%	1,132	19.1%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	1,748	33.8%	205	28.0%	139	49.6%	1,404	33.8%	2,288	38.6%	6,299	25.1%
If any above Rx, mental health treatment received ³	2,696	95.1%	307	95.6%	184	90.6%	2,205	95.4%	3,649	98.1%	12,796	85.4%
Mental Health Service History												
MH inpatient	571	11.0%	45	6.1%	20	7.1%	506	12.2%	848	14.3%	1,909	7.6%
MH outpatient	4,057	78.4%	506	69.1%	228	81.4%	3,323	79.9%	5,347	90.2%	21,510	85.7%
Any SUD services	256	4.9%	27	3.7%	25	8.9%	204	4.9%	308	5.2%	4,595	18.3%
DCYF Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	549	10.6%	23	3.1%	214	76.4%	312	7.5%	228	3.8%	972	3.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	5,172		732		280		4,160		5,928		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	5,188		734		281		4,173		5,954		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on behavioral health and services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 3 for additional details. In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. Includes outpatient mental health treatment from DBHR, HCA, or Tribal Authorities.

July 2014 to June 2020 (cumulative since WISe program began)

Social and Health Services, 12 Month Period

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe PF	ROXY
	WISe SEI	RVICES	Outpatien	t/Other	CLIP/	BRS	WIS	ie –	SERVI	CES	(SFY 20	015)
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Child Welfare History												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	913	5.6%	35	1.6%	381	62.5%	497	3.7%	375	3.0%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	2,943	18.2%	299	13.9%	570	93.4%	2,074	15.5%	1,731	14.0%	3,873	15.4%
Any DCYF Child Welfare Services	9,106	56.3%	1,019	47.3%	598	98.0%	7,489	55.8%	6,956	56.3%	10,300	41.1%
Juvenile Justice History												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JR)	284	1.8%	26	1.2%	34	5.6%	224	1.7%	192	1.6%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	1,237	7.6%	87	4.0%	100	16.4%	1,050	7.8%	955	7.7%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions ³	1,352	8.4%	114	5.3%	104	17.0%	1,134	8.5%	1,086	8.8%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JR, arrests, convictions) ³	1,880	11.6%	157	7.3%	153	25.1%	1,570	11.7%	1,466	11.9%	6,903	27.5%
Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History												
Any DDA services	834	5.2%	93	4.3%	79	13.0%	662	4.9%	630	5.1%	1,112	4.4%
Economic Services Administration (ESA) History												
Basic Food	9,493	58.7%	1,313	61.0%	174	28.5%	8,006	59.7%	7,461	60.4%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	3,160	19.5%	438	20.3%	102	16.7%	2,620	19.5%	2,441	19.8%	4,465	17.8%
Health Care Authority (HCA) History												
Any ER visits	7,756	47.9%	928	43.1%	282	46.2%	6,546	48.8%	6,357	51.4%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	3,857	23.8%	348	16.2%	128	21.0%	3,381	25.2%	3,551	28.7%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	682	4.2%	70	3.3%	38	6.2%	574	4.3%	534	4.3%	3,024	12.1%
Out-of-Home Placement History												
Out-of-home placement and		Include	s foster care,	CLIP, state h	ospital, comm	unity psychi	atric inpatient,	residential l	habilitation ce	nter, JR insti	tution.	
psychiatric hospitalizations	4,415	27.3%	442	20.5%	579	94.9%	3,394	25.3%	3,232	26.2%	5,941	23.7%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	16,183		2,153		610		13,420		12,358		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	16,227		2,159		614		13,454		12,421		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on social and health services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 3 for additional details. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. ³Due to data completeness issues at the time this report was run, convictions may be underestimated.

July 2019 to June 2020 (SFY 2020; last 4 quarters of data available)

Social and Health Services, 12 Month Period

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO	UTH	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	SUBGR	OUP	ALL YO	UTH		
	SCREENE	D FOR	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	Referral O	utcome:	RECEIVIN	G WISe	WISe PF	ROXY
	WISe SEF	RVICES	Outpatien	t/Other	CLIP/	BRS	WIS	ie –	SERVI	CES	(SFY 20)15)
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Child Welfare History												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	549	10.6%	23	3.1%	214	76.4%	312	7.5%	228	3.8%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	1,380	26.7%	129	17.6%	272	97.1%	979	23.5%	984	16.6%	3,873	15.4%
Any DCYF Child Welfare Services	3,130	60.5%	348	47.5%	279	99.6%	2,503	60.2%	3,360	56.7%	10,300	41.1%
Juvenile Justice History												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JR)	128	2.5%	1	1	2	2	103	2.5%	116	2.0%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	393	7.6%	28	3.8%	39	13.9%	326	7.8%	416	7.0%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions ³	411	7.9%	38	5.2%	33	11.8%	340	8.2%	473	8.0%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JR, arrests, convictions) ³	586	11.3%	52	7.1%	54	19.3%	480	11.5%	642	10.8%	6,903	27.5%
Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History												
Any DDA services	373	7.2%	45	6.1%	46	16.4%	282	6.8%	434	7.3%	1,112	4.4%
Economic Services Administration (ESA) History												
Basic Food	2,856	55.2%	402	54.9%	66	23.6%	2,388	57.4%	3,451	58.2%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	992	19.2%	128	17.5%	38	13.6%	826	19.9%	1,159	19.6%	4,465	17.8%
Health Care Authority (HCA) History												
Any ER visits	2,462	47.6%	275	37.6%	140	50.0%	2,047	49.2%	3,030	51.1%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	1,198	23.2%	105	14.3%	66	23.6%	1,027	24.7%	1,645	27.7%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	227	4.4%	15	2.0%	18	6.4%	194	4.7%	241	4.1%	3,024	12.1%
Out-of-Home Placement History												
Out-of-home placement and	Inc	cludes fost	er care, CLIP,	state hosp	ital, commu	nity psychi	atric inpatier	nt, residenti	al habilitatio	on center, J	R institution.	
psychiatric hospitalizations	1,806	34.9%	172	23.5%	273	97.5%	1,361	32.7%	1,719	29.0%	5,941	23.7%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	5,172		732		280		4,160		5,928		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	5,188		734		281		4,173		5,954		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on social and health services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page See page 3 for additional details. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. ³Due to data completeness issues at the time this report was run, convictions may be underestimated.

July 2014 to June 2020 (cumulative since WISe program began)

Functional Proxy Indicators, 12 Month Period

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO SCREENE WISe SEF	D FOR RVICES	SUBGR Referral O Outpatien	utcome: t/Other	SUBGR Referral O CLIP/	utcome: BRS	SUBGR Referral O WIS	utcome:	ALL YO RECEIVIN SERVI	G WISe CES	WISe PF (SFY 20	15)
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Mental Health Inpatient Stays												
Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP)	176	1.1%	1	1	2	2	155	1.2%	169	1.4%	173	0.7%
State Mental Hospitals	1	1	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1	1	1	113	0.5%
Community Inpatient	1,622	10.0%	148	6.9%	40	6.6%	1,434	10.7%	1,575	12.7%	1,757	7.0%
Child Welfare Involvement												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	913	5.6%	35	1.6%	381	62.5%	497	3.7%	375	3.0%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	267	1.6%	1	1	2	2	155	1.2%	105	0.8%	257	1.0%
In placement in year with >3 lifetime out-of-home child welfare placements	1,602	9.9%	119	5.5%	432	70.8%	1,051	7.8%	1,050	8.5%	2,910	11.6%
Other Risk Indicators												
Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) services	284	1.8%	26	1.2%	34	5.6%	224	1.7%	192	1.6%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted ³	1,352	8.4%	114	5.3%	104	17.0%	1,134	8.5%	1,086	8.8%	5,534	22.1%
MH crisis encounter	3,594	22.2%	329	15.3%	110	18.0%	3,155	23.5%	3,398	27.5%	6,422	25.6%
Homelessness	316	2.0%	43	2.0%	11	1.8%	262	2.0%	234	1.9%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	1,404	8.7%	77	3.6%	78	12.8%	1,249	9.3%	1,256	10.2%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI diagnosis on claim	649	4.0%	2	2	1	1	584	4.4%	657	5.3%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI diagnosis on claim	1,452	9.0%	102	4.7%	48	7.9%	1,302	9.7%	1,467	11.9%	2,296	9.2%
MH OP utilization in year at or above the 90th percentile	9,152	56.6%	1,146	53.2%	393	64.4%	7,613	56.7%	7,241	58.6%	6,476	25.8%
Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter												
Drug overdose	336	2.1%	44	2.0%	14	2.3%	278	2.1%	255	2.1%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	445	2.7%	41	1.9%	27	4.4%	377	2.8%	379	3.1%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	314	1.9%	2	2	1	1	275	2.0%	278	2.2%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	1,171	7.2%	95	4.4%	39	6.4%	1,037	7.7%	1,124	9.1%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	290	1.8%	28	1.3%	19	3.1%	243	1.8%	243	2.0%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need	2,013	12.4%	209	9.7%	116	19.0%	1,688	12.6%	1,620	13.1%	6,800	27.1%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	16,183		2,153		610		13,420		12,358		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	16,227		2,159		614		13,454		12,421		25,090	

or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 3 for additional details. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. ³Due to data completeness issues at the time this report was run, convictions may be underestimated.

July 2019 to June 2020 (SFY 2020; last 4 quarters of data available)

Functional Proxy Indicators, 12 Month Period

				SCREENED	for WISe				SERVED b	y WISe		
	ALL YO SCREENE WISe SER	D FOR RVICES	SUBGR Referral O Outpatien	utcome: t/Other	SUBGR Referral O CLIP/	utcome: BRS	SUBGF Referral O WIS	utcome: Se	ALL YO RECEIVIN SERVI	G WISe CES	WISe P	015)
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Mental Health Inpatient Stays												
MH Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP)	39	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	1	2	2	56	0.9%	173	0.7%
MH State Mental Hospitals	1	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%	113	0.5%
MH Community Inpatient	539	10.4%	45	6.1%	16	5.7%	478	11.5%	805	13.6%	1,757	7.0%
Children's Administration Encounters												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	549	10.6%	23	3.1%	214	76.4%	312	7.5%	228	3.8%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	139	2.7%	1	1	2	2	81	1.9%	39	0.7%	257	1.0%
In placement in year with >3 lifetime out-of-home	993	10.20/	78	10.7%	252	00.00/	663	15.9%	627	10.69/	2.010	11.6%
child welfare placements	993	19.2%	/8	10.7%	252	90.0%	663	15.9%	627	10.6%	2,910	11.6%
Other Risk Indicators												
Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) services	128	2.5%	1	1	2	2	103	2.5%	116	2.0%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted ³	411	7.9%	38	5.2%	33	11.8%	340	8.2%	473	8.0%	5,534	22.1%
MH crisis encounter	891	17.2%	84	11.5%	37	13.2%	770	18.5%	1,757	29.6%	6,422	25.6%
Homelessness	135	2.6%	2	2	1	1	110	2.6%	124	2.1%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	551	10.7%	47	6.4%	53	18.9%	451	10.8%	804	13.6%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI	242	4 70/	2	2	1	1	247	E 20/	227	E 70/	707	2.00/
diagnosis on claim	242	4.7%		2	1	1	217	5.2%	337	5.7%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI		2 62/						40.00/		44.00/		0.00/
diagnosis on claim	494	9.6%	38	5.2%	32	11.4%	424	10.2%	708	11.9%	2,296	9.2%
MH OP utilization in year at or above the 90th	2.057	FF 40/	202	E 2 70/	474	62.40/	2 200	FF 20/	2.072	CE 20/	6.476	25.00/
percentile	2,867	55.4%	393	53.7%	174	62.1%	2,300	55.3%	3,872	65.3%	6,476	25.8%
Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter												
Drug overdose	113	2.2%	2	2	1	1	93	2.2%	120	2.0%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	124	2.4%	1	1	2	2	99	2.4%	145	2.4%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	123	2.4%	2	2	1	1	107	2.6%	163	2.7%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	410	7.9%	26	3.6%	15	5.4%	369	8.9%	570	9.6%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	132	2.6%	12	1.6%	12	4.3%	108	2.6%	154	2.6%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need	585	11.3%	52	7.1%	50	17.9%	483	11.6%	686	11.6%	6,800	27.1%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	5,172		732		280		4,160		5,928		25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	5,188		734		281		4,173		5,954		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on functional proxy indicators in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for wise services), the 12 months prior to the first month of wise services in the time period (youth receiving wise services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 3 for additional details. ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cells with small numbers cannot be recalculated. ³Due to data completeness issues at the time this report was run, convictions may be underestimated.

Initial assessments July 2014 to June 2020, follow-ups 6 months later (cumulative since WISe program began)

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

				•					•			
	WASHING		BY REGIC	N ▶								
	ALL WI SERVIC		Great R	livers	Greater Co	olumbia	King Co	unty	North C	entral	North S	Sound
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients	5,783		390		846		580		121		1112	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	10	15	11	13	9	10	8	14	9	14	11
Average # of identified strengths	8	9	8	9	9	10	8	9	7	8	7	8
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional					Тој	o 5 Actionabl	le Treatment N	leeds at Inta	ke Statewide			
Emotional control problems	78%	57%	87%	65%	83%	49%	50%	38%	85%	60%	78%	62%
Mood disturbance problems	68%	47%	73%	48%	74%	43%	44%	37%	74%	37%	66%	50%
Attention/impulse problems	67%	56%	75%	60%	66%	51%	42%	39%	63%	42%	70%	64%
Anxiety	62%	50%	65%	50%	65%	40%	45%	41%	62%	39%	64%	60%
Adjustment to trauma	58%	44%	62%	46%	62%	41%	42%	34%	67%	51%	54%	45%
Needs: Risk Factors					Тој	o 5 Actionabl	le Treatment Λ	leeds at Inta	ke Statewide			
Decision-making problems	57%	42%	69%	52%	58%	36%	31%	23%	65%	34%	64%	51%
Danger to others	40%	21%	50%	27%	36%	15%	24%	13%	29%	1	41%	23%
Suicide risk	29%	12%	32%	11%	29%	8%	16%	10%	18%	1	25%	11%
Intended misbehavior	29%	21%	32%	23%	28%	17%	18%	13%	40%	25%	29%	22%
Non-suicidal self-injury	27%	12%	32%	13%	29%	11%	15%	7%	20%	1	23%	12%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning					Тој	o 5 Actionabl	le Treatment Λ	leeds at Inta	ke Statewide			
Family problems	78%	55%	79%	59%	76%	46%	53%	36%	76%	52%	82%	62%
Interpersonal problems	62%	45%	63%	46%	54%	36%	47%	36%	72%	43%	72%	53%
Living situation problems	60%	39%	68%	45%	63%	36%	37%	29%	64%	42%	64%	45%
School achievement problems	52%	40%	49%	36%	52%	36%	49%	38%	59%	34%	53%	43%
School behavior problems	50%	29%	57%	31%	43%	26%	45%	31%	60%	28%	50%	31%
Needs: Other Domains					Тој	o 5 Actionabl	le Treatment N	leeds at Inta	ke Statewide			
Family stress problems	71%	55%	69%	53%	67%	45%	58%	47%	68%	44%	75%	63%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	42%	36%	43%	37%	30%	26%	42%	42%	41%	38%	46%	37%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	38%	40%	44%	42%	30%	28%	41%	48%	41%	28%	43%	44%
TA youth financial resource problems	27%	28%	31%	34%	21%	22%	34%	41%	38%	17%	30%	28%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	26%	26%	23%	21%	21%	18%	22%	21%	18%	16%	23%	27%
Strengths					Тој	p 5 Youth Str	engths by Gro	wth Over Tin	ne Statewide			
Relationship permanence	64%	73%	61%	75%	71%	80%	75%	81%	62%	74%	53%	62%
Educational system strengths	63%	77%	64%	77%	67%	81%	70%	80%	61%	76%	63%	80%
Optimism	58%	67%	55%	66%	63%	72%	68%	73%	54%	64%	50%	55%
Resilience	52%	64%	47%	60%	54%	71%	67%	77%	31%	50%	35%	43%
Community connection	45%	56%	45%	52%	50%	64%	51%	66%	30%	54%	41%	51%

Continued on next page

Initial assessments July 2014 to June 2020, follow-ups 6 months later (cumulative since WISe program began)

Continued from previous page	WASHIN		BY REGIO	N ▶								
	ALL W SERVI		Pier	се	Salis	sh	Southv	vest	Spokane	Region	Thurston	Mason
Newshar of MICC Clause	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients	5,783		847		264		578		547		498	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	10	15	11	14	10	15	11	16	11	13	10
Average # of identified strengths	8	9	8	9	6	7	8	9	8	9	8	9
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional							le Treatment N					
Emotional control problems	78%	57%	79%	61%	73%	54%	85%	60%	90%	66%	74%	53%
Mood disturbance problems	68%	47%	71%	50%	72%	49%	73%	53%	73%	47%	65%	44%
Attention/impulse problems	67%	56%	69%	59%	66%	55%	71%	60%	79%	65%	62%	47%
Anxiety	62%	50%	57%	47%	61%	44%	71%	58%	72%	58%	61%	44%
Adjustment to trauma	58%	44%	63%	51%	60%	39%	58%	46%	68%	50%	56%	43%
Needs: Risk Factors					Тор	5 Actionab	le Treatment N	eeds at Intal				
Decision-making problems	57%	42%	62%	49%	51%	39%	55%	42%	69%	45%	45%	37%
Danger to others	40%	21%	52%	30%	36%	2	44%	24%	49%	22%	30%	16%
Suicide risk	29%	12%	44%	24%	24%	2	33%	14%	31%	11%	26%	9%
Intended misbehavior	29%	21%	21%	19%	24%	19%	35%	25%	45%	31%	30%	23%
Non-suicidal self-injury	27%	12%	34%	17%	30%	2	28%	12%	33%	13%	22%	10%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning					Тор	5 Actionab	le Treatment N	eeds at Intal	ke Statewide			
Family problems	78%	55%	85%	64%	76%	57%	81%	53%	85%	59%	80%	54%
Interpersonal problems	62%	45%	66%	49%	59%	46%	64%	47%	64%	44%	58%	44%
Living situation problems	60%	39%	56%	39%	50%	32%	58%	35%	71%	44%	60%	33%
School achievement problems	52%	40%	56%	44%	48%	37%	57%	42%	47%	37%	54%	38%
School behavior problems	50%	29%	52%	32%	50%	28%	52%	30%	53%	28%	49%	28%
Needs: Other Domains					Top	5 Actionab	le Treatment N	eeds at Intal	ke Statewide			
Family stress problems	71%	55%	75%	61%	78%	58%	71%	54%	82%	58%	68%	52%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	42%	36%	46%	41%	40%	39%	40%	35%	43%	35%	44%	34%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	38%	40%	34%	39%	29%	34%	43%	38%	43%	53%	32%	37%
TA youth financial resource problems	27%	28%	22%	26%	20%	23%	25%	25%	32%	38%	24%	25%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	26%	26%	30%	35%	37%	32%	32%	29%	30%	27%	30%	29%
Strengths					Tol	5 Youth Str	engths by Gro	wth Over Tim	ne Statewide			
Relationship permanence	64%	73%	67%	73%	62%	70%	68%	70%	61%	74%	62%	73%
Educational system strengths	63%	77%	62%	73%	47%	58%	60%	74%	65%	80%	59%	75%
Optimism	58%	67%	67%	75%	49%	56%	54%	67%	52%	65%	57%	69%
Resilience	52%	64%	73%	80%	50%	58%	45%	61%	46%	64%	53%	66%
Community connection	45%	56%	49%	57%	34%	38%	46%	57%	47%	55%	44%	53%
PATA COURCE D. L				- /-	- /-	7 - 1		- /-		'		[

DATA SOURCE: Behavioral Health Assessment System (BHAS).

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 07/1/2014 and 06/30/2020 and whose 6-month reassessment was available in BHAS as of 12/30/2020. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each region. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. TA (Transition to Adulthood) items are only administered to youth age 15+. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. Strengths are identified when the clinician rated a strengths item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). ¹In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that c

Initial assessments July 2019 to June 2020, follow-ups 6 months later (last 4 quarters of data available)

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

									•			
	WASHIN		BY REGIO	ON ▶								
	ALL W		Great F	Rivers	Greater C	olumbia	King Co	ounty	North C	entral	North S	ound
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients	1,535		145		183		116		33		285	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	13	10	15	11	13	8	13	10	11	7	14	12
Average # of identified strengths	8	9	8	9	9	10	8	9	8	10	8	8
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional					To	p 5 Actionab	le Treatment	Needs at Inta	ıke Statewide			
Emotional control problems	78%	60%	88%	68%	80%	53%	67%	48%	79%	61%	75%	65%
Attention/impulse problems	69%	58%	74%	57%	73%	56%	53%	49%	73%	55%	66%	61%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	76%	57%	68%	42%	63%	46%	58%	1	65%	53%
Adjustment to trauma	64%	49%	65%	50%	66%	42%	59%	52%	55%	45%	61%	54%
Anxiety	63%	50%	67%	59%	67%	40%	53%	39%	61%	48%	68%	62%
Needs: Risk Factors									ıke Statewide			
Decision-making problems	56%	43%	74%	59%	50%	37%	52%	37%	61%	45%	61%	52%
Danger to others	41%	22%	46%	30%	37%	17%	40%	22%	1	1	39%	25%
Suicide risk	31%	14%	35%	1	26%	8%	25%	16%	1	1	28%	12%
Non-suicidal self-injury	30%	14%	38%	1	32%	13%	23%	1	1	1	24%	14%
Intended misbehavior	27%	19%	37%	23%	23%	14%	25%	1	1	1	22%	17%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning									ıke Statewide			
Family problems	78%	58%	77%	57%	68%	50%	71%	53%	70%	52%	83%	65%
Interpersonal problems	61%	48%	65%	48%	54%	39%	55%	49%	64%	45%	68%	52%
Living situation problems	55%	37%	68%	46%	58%	32%	51%	38%	61%	2	64%	45%
School achievement problems	49%	40%	52%	40%	49%	42%	55%	45%	1	1	53%	43%
School behavior problems	47%	26%	55%	32%	42%	27%	49%	31%	55%	1	48%	24%
Needs: Other Domains									ıke Statewide			
Family stress problems	70%	55%	77%	59%	55%	38%	70%	58%	58%	1	74%	64%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	37%	40%	48%	35%	29%	27%	47%	53%	1	1	39%	47%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	35%	35%	58%	35%	29%	21%	53%	53%	¹	1	37%	42%
Caregiver mental health problems	25%	23%	21%	19%	22%	14%	28%	24%	1	1	24%	28%
TA youth financial resource problems	25%	27%	35%	29%	17%	17%	37%	43%	1	1	29%	31%
Strengths							rengths by Gro					
Family	66%	72%	71%	74%	72%	78%	66%	78%	76%	85%	57%	61%
Educational system strengths	65%	72%	57%	65%	76%	82%	69%	77%	85%	76%	62%	75%
Relationship permanence	65%	72%	68%	79%	72%	80%	63%	71%	79%	97%	55%	63%
Optimism	60%	66%	58%	63%	66%	70%	53%	61%	73%	73%	51%	56%
Resilience	59%	68%	43%	53%	60%	78%	64%	73%	1	64%	53%	57%

Continued on next page

Initial assessments July 2019 to June 2020, follow-ups 6 months later (last 4 quarters of data available)

Continued from previous page	WASHINGTON ALL WISe			N ►								
	ALL W SERVI		Piero	ce	Salis	h	South	west	Spokane	Region	Thurston N	/lason
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients	1,535		266		100		111		163		133	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	13	10	14	11	11	8	12	9	16	10	12	9
Average # of identified strengths	8	9	9	9	7	8	9	9	8	9	8	9
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional							le Treatment					
Emotional control problems	78%	60%	77%	59%	62%	50%	76%	55%	91%	67%	78%	62%
Attention/impulse problems	69%	58%	67%	56%	60%	49%	74%	70%	80%	64%	68%	50%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	63%	45%	71%	2	64%	47%	73%	41%	68%	46%
Adjustment to trauma Anxiety	64%	49%	70%	58%	69%	44%	58%	43%	71%	45%	54%	44%
,	63%	50%	54%	47%	59%	40%	68%	55%	72%	56%	60%	41%
Needs: Risk Factors									ake Statewide			
Decision-making problems	56%	43%	52%	41%	46%	¹	42%	33%	75%	46%	40%	32%
Danger to others	41%	22%	52%	27%	2	¹	35%	18%	56%	25%	29%	13%
Suicide risk	31%	14%	49%	29%	2		23%	12%	31%	9%	26%	1
Non-suicidal self-injury Intended misbehavior	30%	14%	41%	22%	2	1	22%	11%	38% 47%	11%	24%	1
	27%	19%	20%	14%	2	12%	29%	17%		32%	33%	24%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning									ake Statewide			
Family problems	78%	58%	82%	66%	75%	54%	68%	51%	90%	60%	73%	55%
Interpersonal problems	61%	48%	67%	52%	49%	38%	66%	59%	63%	47%	50%	47%
Living situation problems	55%	37%	43%	31%	50%	¹	33%	23%	75%	47%	43%	32%
School achievement problems	49%	40%	51%	44%	2		50%	49%	44%	33%	49%	36%
School behavior problems	47%	26%	52%	33%	48%	1	37%	13%	43%	17%	47%	27%
Needs: Other Domains									ake Statewide			
Family stress problems	70%	55%	70%	61%	69%	54%	59%	2	88%	58%	65%	50%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	37%	40%	33%	46%	2	1	41%	34%	52%	48%	33%	40%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	35%	35%	36%	39%	2		47%	38%	30%	33%	15%	23%
Caregiver mental health problems	25%	23%	28%	26%	¹	1	27%	21%	26%	23%	28%	26%
TA youth financial resource problems	25%	27%	17%	24%	2	1	19%	19%	36%	33%	20%	23%
Strengths	222						rengths by Gro			CC0/		
Family	66%	72%	63%	69%	65%	76%	81%	77%	55%	66%	74%	81%
Educational system strengths	65%	72%	64%	68%	54%	57%	66%	67%	63%	79%	68%	76%
Relationship permanence	65%	72%	71%	73%	58%	66%	76%	77%	55%	67%	67%	73%
Optimism Resilience	60%	66%	70%	77%	53%	66%	62%	68%	52% 42%	65%	69%	68%
Resilience	59%	68%	84%	85%	2	63%	63%	68%	4270	63%	59%	71%

DATA SOURCE: Behavioral Health Assessment System (BHAS).

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and a 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 7/1/2019 and 6/30/2020 and whose 6-month reassessment was available in BHAS as of 12/30/2020. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each region. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. TA (Transition to Adulthood) items are only administered to youth age 15+. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. Strengths are identified when the clinician rated a strengths item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). In order to protect the privacy of individuals, cells in this data product that contain small numbers from 1 to 10 are not displayed. ²Some additional cells with n>10 have been suppressed to ensure that cel

WISe Implementation Progress

Statewide Monthly WISe Client Counts

Most Recent 12 Months of Data



SOURCE: BHO/MCO/agency data systems, as reported to HCA by these entities on a monthly basis.

WISe Service Package Intensity, Average Outpatient Mental Health Service Hours Per WISe Service Month

	WASHINGTON	BY REGION >									
	ALL WISe SERVICES	Great Rivers	Greater Columbia	King County	North Central*	North Sound	Pierce County	Salish	Southwest	Spokane	Thurston- Mason
Most Recent 3-Month Moving											
Average, Lag Factors Applied, December 2020	11.8	12.1	10.6	9.3	10.9	10.1	14.1	12.1	15.8	10.8	13.1

SOURCE: ProviderOne data system.

NOTES: *Omits encounters for North Central youth whose WISe provider was Grant Integrated Services. This provider is currently in the process of resubmitting encounter data with known issues. See WISe Service Intensity Report, April 2021 for more information.